

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

ATTEST

By Tarrell L. Littleton on Apr 07, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Mar 23, 2010

FILED  
CLERK'S OFFICE

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE)  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2100

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-18)**

On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 302 additional actions have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R. Herndon.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is  
pending at this time, the  
stay is lifted.

Apr 07, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE  
UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:




Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

**FILED**

APR 07 2010

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

**SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
CERTIFIED TRUE COPY**

By   
Leana Brinkley  
Deputy Clerk

Date 4/7/10

**IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONONE)  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2100

**SCHEDULE CTO-18 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>	
CALIFORNIA CENTRAL CAC 2 10-1385 <del>CAC 8 10-274</del>	Josefina Brambila, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. <del>Elenora Bouwman v. Bayer Corp., et al.</del> Vacated 3/3/010	10-20248-DRH-PMF
CALIFORNIA EASTERN <del>CAE 1 10-418</del>	Alisia Rhodes v. Bayer Corp., et al.	Opposed 3/30/10
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN CAN 3 10-970	Alexandrea Lentini v. McKesson Corp., et al.	10-20249-DRH-PMF
CONNECTICUT CT 3 10-254	Sara Lestage v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20250-DRH-PMF
KENTUCKY EASTERN KYE 5 10-65	Allison Hensley v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20251-DRH-PMF
NEW YORK SOUTHERN NYS 1 10-985 NYS 1 10-986 NYS 1 10-988 NYS 1 10-1286	Jessica Gilliland, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al. Yvonne Jebe v. Bayer Corp., et al. Tabby Keahay-Parsons v. Bayer Corp., et al. Kathy Klepfer v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20252-DRH-PMF 10-20253-DRH-PMF 10-20254-DRH-PMF 10-20255-DRH-PMF
SOUTH CAROLINA SC 8 10-633	Patty Bagwell Dismukes, et al. v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20256-DRH-PMF
TENNESSEE EASTERN TNE 1 10-44 TNE 3 10-82 TNE 3 10-84 TNE 3 10-85	Crystal Henson v. Bayer Corp., et al. Kelsey Hicks v. Bayer Corp., et al. Lisa Emmert v. Bayer Corp., et al. Kenneth Fitzpatrick, etc. v. Bayer Corp., et al.	10-20257-DRH-PMF 10-20258-DRH-PMF 10-20259-DRH-PMF 10-20260-DRH-PMF